# **PROGRAMME HIGHLIGHT REPORT**

## FOR THE PERIOD SEPTEMBER 2006

Prepared by

## **Corporate PMO**

Version 0.1

### HARINGEY COUNCIL

## CONTENTS

SECTION	1 – REGENERATION STREAM BOARD	.3
(1) (2) (3) CENTB	HARINGEY HEARTLANDS TOTTENHAM HALE URBAN CENTRE WOOD GREEN TOWN E	5 6
4)	TOTTENHAM HIGH ROAD STRATEGY	8
(5) (6) (6) (6)	GROWTH AREA & COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS (GAF/CIF) COUNCIL OWNED LAND COUNCIL OWNED LAND CONT WARDS CORNER	10 14 15
. ,	2 – CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE STREAM BOARD	18
(1) (2) (3)	BUILDING SCHOOLS FOR THE FUTURE PRIMARY SCHOOLS CAPITAL PROJECTS CHILDREN'S NETWORKS YOUTH SERVICE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	20 24
(4) SECTION	3 – WELL BEING STREAM BOARD	
(1)	E-CARE	30
(2)		
SECTION	4 – BETTER HARINGEY STREAM BOARD	34
SECTION	5 – HOUSING STREAM BOARD	
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	REPAIRS PROCUREMENT	37 39 41
. ,	6 – PEOPLE STREAM BOARD	
(1)	EQUAL PAY REVIEW	
(2) (3)	INVESTORS IN PEOPLE CORPORATE HEALTH & SAFETY ACTION PLAN	
( )	7 – VALUE FOR MONEY STREAM BOARD	
(1)	ASSETS STRATEGY	
(2) (3)	PROCUREMENT PROGRAMME	52
(4) (5)	BENEFITS & LOCAL TAXATION VFM REVIEW TRANSACTIONAL EFFICIENCY.	
	8 – CUSTOMER FOCUS STREAM BOARD	
(1)	INSOURCING ICT	58
(2) (3)	CUSTOMER SERVICES STRATEGY	
. ,		

#### **SECTION 1 – REGENERATION STREAM BOARD**

							Regene	ration Stream Board		
(1) HARINGEY HEARTLANDS LEVEL 1 PROJECT							<ul> <li>To secure the land know school.</li> <li>To obtain funding for a</li> <li>To secure a guarantee</li> </ul>	erplan for the regeneration wn as the "former sand side new spine road through the of the decommissioning of downers and private develo jets of up to: - os hool places	and development of the Eas ings" for the provision of a ne e overall site from North to S the National Grid TRANSCO opers to deliver these plans.	ew sixth form secondary outh.
							Original End Date: N/A as phased programme of work	Current End Date: N/A as phased programme of work	Project Budget: £250k (£150k last month)	Forecast spend: £150k Spend to date: £27.6k
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUMMAR	Ŷ	ational Grid's M.D., Philip	
G G G A A G G Project Sponsor: Justin Holliday Project Manager: Shifa Mustafa / Mark Lucas						G	<ul> <li>application rather the proceeding to the surface of the surface of the surface of the section of the s</li></ul>	han adopting the Masterp bmission of planning applic ole has been reached that ord Rogers of Riverside. ement permitting the new pletion) by the Council has n for Haringey Heartlands	the revised masterplan and Spine Road to be built on N been signed and sealed.	subsequent application(s)
							reliant passing throu using the existing rai	igh the New River Underp ilway footbridge.	brief them on our strategy a	ealth & safety grounds) by

		Regeneration Stream Board
(1)	HARINGEY HEARTLANDS CONT.	• The trustees of AP&P have made it clear that despite Children's Services publicity to the contrary, the Trust has not and will not permit the park to be used for (school) Sports purposes and will not permit land leased to Alexandra FC to be assigned or sub-let to the new proposed school. An alternative route will be sought within a 3mile radius. Children's Services urgently needs to build some bridges with Alexandra Palace Trust.
		<ul> <li><b>Budget:</b> <ul> <li>£150k was cut as a corporate saving from the project budget at the beginning of the year. This money has now been re-instated. The project is now running a £100k underspend as no new expenditure has yet been committed.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Resources:</li> <li>The Programme Manager will be leaving his position mid-November. As yet no new resources have been identified.</li> </ul>

							Regeneration Stream Board				
(2)	TOTT URBA				.Е		PROJECT DESCRIPTON / OBJECTIVES				
	LEVE				СТ		<ul> <li>To commission, prepare, consult, amend and adopt a Masterplan for Tottenham Hale Urban Centre.</li> <li>To procure a new 21st century Interchange at Tottenham Hale Station.</li> <li>To assemble capital and revenue funding from the public and private sector to deliver the necessary physical and social infrastructure.</li> <li>To bring forward the six key sites for development which are Hale Wharf, the Greater London Supplies Depot (GLS), Ashley Road Depot, Station Interchange, Retail Park and High Cross Housing Estate.</li> <li>In general, to implement the objectives of the masterplan in accordance with urban design principles set out in the Tottenham Hale Urban Centre Design Framework.</li> <li>Original End Date: N/A as phased</li> <li>Current End Date: N/A as phased</li> <li>Project Budget: N/A as budget is held, spent &amp; monitored by the LDA</li> </ul>				
							programme of work programme of work MANAGEMENT SUMMARY				
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	Progress				
G	G	G	Α	G	G	G	<ul> <li>The London Borough of Haringey is to present the Masterplan to the Mayor (GLA).</li> <li>Responses to the Masterplan and Sustainability Appraisal have been considered and the draft</li> </ul>				
	GGGGGGProject Sponsor: David HenningsProject Manager: Shifa Mustafa / Mark Lucas						<ul> <li>The provide the interference of the interference of the origination of the origination of the origination of the interference of the origination of the orig</li></ul>				

							Regen	eration Stream Boar	d	
(3) WOOD GREEN TOWN CENTRE							<ul> <li>of Wood Green Town Ce Centre status. Projects/a</li> <li>To develop a Mastern plan for Wood Green Ave. All planning doc</li> <li>To continue to provid Town Centre Manag signposting, networki</li> <li>To benchmark the se</li> <li>To use the results ga sustainable funding n</li> </ul>	of projects to improve the ntre (WGTC) in order to a ctivities and objectives in plan and planning brief fo ) with the inclusion of stra uments to be submitted a le a local business support ger and associated reso ng, resource management prvices within the town ce ined from the BIDs feasibility nechanism for the Wood	secure sustainability and ma iclude: r WGTC using existing evid itegic sites such as Civic Ce is part of planning brief for I ort service for SME's situate urces. Provision of service at and compliance issues intre by obtaining SLA's (Se pility study to feed in to the V Green Town Centre Manag	lence bases (e.g. draft spatial entre, Library and Lymington UDP in September 2007. ed in Wood Green through the es include advice/support and rvice Level Agreements) WGTC strategy so as to find a ement function.
							Original End Date: tbd	Current End Date: tbd	Project Budget: tbd	Forecast spend: tbd Spend to date: tbd
Status This Month			Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	With the recent addition of project brief, it is envisag	eing drawn up to cover al of the Civic Centre, Librar ed that a final PID docum	y, Lymington Avenue and T ent will not be available unt	til all aspects are fully scoped
G G G G G G G G Project Sponsor: David Hennings Project Manager: Karen Galey						G	A formal request from the physical development ad stakeholders and partner this development on Woo such as public consultation partners with regards to p Officers in Economic Reg have undertaken a signifi	e council's neighbourhood jacent to Turnpike Lane <sup>-</sup> s (Transport for London, od Green spatial plan as t on. Officers in neighbourh possible funding. generation, Planning and icant amount of the initial d that Urban Practitioners	ube Station. Following a jo highways,) it has been agre here are possible efficiency loods are currently in negot	ceived to include a (proposed) int meeting with key eed to provisionally include y savings to be made in areas iation with TFL and other ith Urban Practitioners who and, produced the first

Regeneration Stream Board							
(3) WOOD GREEN TOWN CENTRE CONT.	<ul> <li>A draft work plan has been agreed to take forward the next stage of works. This will broadly involve:Expansion of spatial plan area <ul> <li>Sustainability Appraisal</li> <li>Consultation</li> <li>SPD</li> </ul> </li> <li>Officers from property services have been engaged to discuss key site developments within the spatial plan namely Lymmington Avenue. Extensive meetings will shortly be held to discuss the Civic Centre and its future development within the Wood Green context.</li> </ul>						

							Regeneration Stream Board					
4) TOTTENHAM HIGH ROAD STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION							<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTON / OBJECTIVES</li> <li>Improve physical regeneration and sustainable development in Tottenham;</li> <li>Development of high quality managed workspace and incubator space for local SME's in Tottenham;</li> <li>Adapt premises to provide improved and increased managed workspace;</li> <li>Improve the Tottenham High Road town centre, Seven Sisters Road, Park Lane and Fore Street Edmonton by enhancing the image of the area and attracting and retaining business;</li> <li>Reinstate the historic features and environment of core centres that had experienced decline and degradation</li> <li>To support delivery of Haringey's City Growth Strategy through providing opportunities for maximising the untapped potential of BME entrepreneurs through providing managed workspace and the associated business support.</li> </ul>					
		1		1		1	Original End Date: December 2006 - ERDF; 2010 - HERSCurrent End Date: 30th June 2007 - ERDF; 2010 - HERSProject Budget: £4851.6kForecast spend: £4851.6kSpend to date: £730.8k					
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUMMARY Timescale					
G	G	G	G	G	G	G	Government Office for London and London Development Agency have both indicated they will agree to Haringey's request to extend the programme grant deadline for construction to 30 <sup>th</sup> June 2007.					
	<b>Projec</b> Zena E <b>Projec</b> Sean E	Braba	izon <b>nage</b>				The 3.2 Urban Centre for City Growth (UCCG) programme is now made up of 9 confirmed projects with both internal and external partners. Three projects are complete (Park Lane, Seven Sisters Road and the NDC LARC refurbishment). Five are on target for completion by March 2007. The Stoneleigh Road project is programmed to be completed by April 2007. The Workspace Board have confirmed that the company will proceed with the project within the UCCG programme.					

Regeneration Stream Board         (4)       TOTTENHAM HIGH ROAD STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION CONT.       Budget and Resources         Projects are now secured to the full programme value of £5.16m. This will ensure that the full Euro Regional Development Fund (ERDF) grant of £1.7m is utilised. Individual UCCG and non-UCCG pare expected spend in full by the end of the financial year.	
<b>ROAD STRATEGY</b> <b>IMPLEMENTATION</b> Projects are now secured to the full programme value of £5.16m. This will ensure that the full European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) grant of £1.7m is utilised. Individual UCCG and non-UCCG pare expected spend in full by the end of the financial year	
PROGRESS:	
Seven-Sisters Road Shop Fronts: Agreed final sums and commenced preparation of UC	CG claim.
<ul> <li>TGEC refurbished managed work space: Internal refurbishment works continued. problem addressed through providing additional TGEC match to ERDF grant.</li> </ul>	Cash flow
<ul> <li>Stoneleigh Road new build Managed Workspace: Demolition commenced on 8<sup>th</sup> Aug contractor has confirmed the Agreed Maximum Price for the contract. A report will be sul authority to enter in to the contract in October. and</li> </ul>	
Bruce Grove THI phase I shop fronts: Work continued to secure full take up of grant of Parade. Heritage Lottery Fund granted permission to start works on Windsor Parade.	n Windsor
<ul> <li>HERS shop fronts and façades: Planning application submitted for 483, 487, 489 H English Heritage agreed design changes required to 483 and 487. Team continued to work completion of individual grant applications.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Enfield Council Fore Street: Contract works continued throughout September. Some works identified and put in hand.</li> </ul>	additional
<ul> <li>LARC (NDC): NDC has completed draft funding agreement. This confirms the refurbing 180m2 of space for creative industry use (Total project cost £180K).</li> </ul>	ishment of
<ul> <li>Workspace project on Tottenham High Road – Estimated project cost £457k. Con former warehouse space. Workspace confirmed their intention to proceed at their Septem meeting. They have been issued with a draft Service Level Agreement.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Rangemoor Road: The bakery has been successfully relocated. All units are now free for commence. The team has agreed a provisional start date of 23<sup>rd</sup> October.</li> </ul>	or works to

								Regene	eration Stream Board		
(5)	CON INFI	OWTH MMUN RAST IDS ((	IITY RUC	TUF	RE		<ul> <li>To develop th Office of the Corridor's de</li> <li>To acquire S (Eastern Utili</li> <li>To enable Su Spaces) (GA</li> <li>To relocate th affordable an opportunities</li> <li>To access ar</li> </ul>	ne social a Deputy Pr ivery plar RA/ Rail F ty Lands). stainable F2). ne Mortua d private d enable	rime Minister's (ODPM) L n. Property Land for a new s Housing Development w	ondon-Stansted- Cambrid econdary school, (GAF2) thin the South Tottenhar ands), (GAF2) to release I retail facilities and asso in Tottenham Hale Inter	
Scho	ol Acc	quisitio	on				Original End Date: ongo programme of work		Current End Date: ongoing programme of work	Project Budget: £6262k	Forecast spend: £6262k Spend to date: £138k
	Status Last Month		Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT Purchase of He	artlands	RY School Site.	ded in the acquisition is	a section over the New River
G Mark	G field	G	G	G	Α	G	<ul><li>over the la</li><li>We have d</li></ul>	nd. scussed v	with Thames Water (TW)	building above this section	nd all we are buying is the bridge on and we would need to BUY a ay be at a high premium as it
Status This Mont	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	<ul> <li>could be seen as a ransom strip for the building! The bridge is 100 years old and will need to be maintained, so having discussed with consultants, we may need to remove part of the existing bridge and construct a building on a bridge raft above with work supervised by DEFRA and TW).</li> <li>The solution and probably the cheapest option is to redesign the footprint of the school to avoid</li> </ul>				
Α	G	Α	Α	G	G	Α	building ov	er the TW	I land and use the bridge urrent style for a further 3	only for access/ car park	ing/ hard play area and

		Regeneration Stream Board
(5)	GROWTH AREA & COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS (GAF/CIF) CONT.	<ul> <li>Access under the New River, TW not very happy and their engineers' state that the reservoirs are covered by DEFRA regulations, as they are purified water and it is unlikely that a pathway would be agreed by DEFRA. We are having a meeting. Network rail to be contacted as their bridge under the railway would also need to be included (i.e. they own the land and bridge in that location and any walk way would need to be suspended from the bridge rather than breach the New River water seal.</li> </ul>
Mort		Markfield Recreation Ground.
Status Mont		<ul> <li>The application to the Heritage Lottery Fund Parks for People programme has been made.</li> <li>A funding bid to the London Marathon Charitable Trust was submitted at the end of August. This was for £45,000 for the improvement of the children's playground.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>The application for Haringey's capital resources has been submitted. This is for £75,000 next year (07/08) and £350,000 in 08/09.</li> </ul>
R	A R A G G R	<ul> <li>Partnership working is continuing with Thames Water to ensure that they commit to carrying out their catchment maintenance works in the Markfield area at the same time we desilt the Old Moselle Brook</li> </ul>
GLS		<ul> <li>to ensure maximum effectiveness of the project.</li> <li>The Environment Agency have highlighted the importance of addressing the misconnections in the catchment in order to prevent sewage disgorgement. This is currently identified as a low priority work</li> </ul>
Status This Mont	Risks s Reso urces Budg et Times cale Status Last Month	area by Enforcement, who are responsible for dealing with misconnections. Recreation Services are in discussions with Enforcement to resolve this issue. Failure to resolve it is a threat to the success of the project.
G	G A G G A	<ul> <li>Markfield Museum are working in partnership with Recreation Services and have pledged their full support to the project. A separate application will be made to the Heritage Lottery Fund under the Heritage Grants programme for repairs for the building, providing steam and making the building fit for</li> </ul>
	<b>Project Sponsor:</b> Andrew Travers	<ul> <li>purpose. An expression of interest will be submitted to the HLF in October 2006.</li> <li>The Markfield Project (disability project in the park) have prepared a business plan for the new café which it is proposed, will be run as a social enterprise as part of the application to the HLF.</li> </ul>
	<b>Project Manager:</b> Shifa Mustafa, Mark Lucas, Steve Carter	

<b>FUNDS (GAF/CIF)</b> • Architect, planning policy & clients trying to resolve planning matter. Full condition survey of the		Regeneration Stream Board
<ul> <li>Coroner: Frankhams (surveyors) on site to determine 'Schedule of Repairs'. Any works required to Barnet building will be reflected in the lease negotiations. Only 'Schedule of Repairs' issue outstanding in order to draft lease. Works required to convert building to a Coroners Court are minimal and relate to fixtures &amp; fittings. These are ready to start as soon as possession is obtained. Budget: No budget variations at this stage. Quantity surveyors work will provide more accurate information.</li> <li>Timescale: There is a slippage to the project timescales in respect of the design &amp; Planning submission. The second drawings need revision and agreement with Planning. This will be delayed to October 2006.</li> <li>Risks: There is a risk that planning approval will be declined. The Scheme has been amended to reduce this risk.</li> <li>GLS Site</li> <li>The consultants are progressing the design and working drawings, specifically for the Podium and vehicular / pedestrian access under Ferry Lane.</li> <li>The planning application for the podium will be submitted in December 2006.</li> <li>Invoices for £39,000 for professional fees and £2,700 for the CABE Enabler have been processed. was programmed that £130,000 would be spent by the end of the second quarter. This was on professional fees and will be covered in later quarters. No physical work was programmed in the first two quarters.</li> <li>Heartlands Spine Road Agreements:</li> <li>The draft Partnership legal binding agreement with National Grid to construct the road on their land h been signed by both parties.</li> </ul>	COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE	<ul> <li>Relocation of the Mortuary: <ul> <li>Awaiting revised funding agreement from DCLG.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Mortuary: Second draft plans still not acceptable to Planning. Demolition of existing building is not supported by Planning.</li> <li>Architect, planning policy &amp; clients trying to resolve planning matter. Full condition survey of the Lodge completed.</li> <li>Coroner: Frankhams (surveyors) on site to determine 'Schedule of Repairs'. Any works required to Barnet building will be reflected in the lease negotiations. Only 'Schedule of Repairs' issue outstanding in order to draft lease. Works required to convert building to a Coroners Court are minimal and relate to fixtures &amp; fittings. These are ready to start as soon as possession is obtained. Budget: No budget variations at this stage. Quantity surveyors work will provide more accurate information. Timescale: There is a slippage to the project timescales in respect of the design &amp; Planning submission. The second drawings need revision and agreement with Planning. This will be delayed to October 2006.</li> <li>Risks: There is a risk that planning approval will be declined. The Scheme has been amended to reduce this risk.</li> </ul> GLS Site <ul> <li>The consultants are progressing the design and working drawings, specifically for the Podium and vehicular / pedestrian access under Ferry Lane.</li> <li>The planning application for the podium will be submitted in December 2006.</li> <li>Invoices for £39,000 for professional fees and £2,700 for the CABE Enabler have been processed. It was programmed that £130,000 would be spent by the end of the second quarter. This was on professional fees and will be covered in later quarters. No physical work was programmed in the first two quarters.</li> </ul> Heartlands Spine Road Agreements: <ul> <li>The draft Partnership legal binding agreement with National Grid to construct the road on their land has been signed by both parties.</li> </ul>

	Regeneration Stream Board
(5) GROWTH AREA & COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS (GAF/CIF) CONT.	<ul> <li>Meetings have been held with representatives of Parks and the "Guardians of the Wood Green Common" have given an early indication of agreement with the proposals subject to their Board's approval. This should be resolved in early October.</li> <li>Officers are awaiting a response from Education regarding the land implications at Alexandra School. We have funded a consultancy study to investigate the impact of the road on the school which will indicate the provisions for the relocation of the buildings affected by the road. It is hoped that this should be resolved by early October.</li> <li>There has been a positive response for the land acquisition at the Chocolate Factory which has been received and should be finalised soon. <i>Planning:</i></li> <li>The interim design alignment has been finalised to take into account the existing buildings and the proximity of the gas rings. The final alignment will then ease the tight S bend through the National Grid land.</li> <li>The joint planning application with National Grid for the road will be submitted by 16/10/06 to meet the Committee approval for 27<sup>th</sup> January 07. Contamination:</li> <li>Approval for access to site for Invasive Investigation has been agreed during the week beginning 18th September, with formal signed agreement received from National Grid on 25/09/06.</li> <li>Topographical surveys have been completed, and topographical data received from the consultant on 30/08/06.</li> <li>Detailed design has commenced on Phases 1 &amp; 3 of the project (existing carriageway), however detailed design on Phase 2 cannot commence until the completion of contamination testing on the National Grid site.</li> <li>Funding/Grant Offer:</li> <li>Grant Offer accepted, signed and submitted to DFT. Correspondence requesting extension to A3.34 sent to DFT on 30/08/06 (extension agreed to 29/09/06). Formal agreement to build a road on their land sent to National Grid on 26/09/06.</li> </ul>

							Rege	eneration Stream Board		
(6)	COULAN	UNCIL ND	_ OV	<b>VNE</b>	D		<ul> <li>To secure economi of protected historic</li> <li>To support inward f</li> </ul>	e of key surplus, derelict or un c, community and environment buildings. unding and investment.	tal benefits and where appro	opriate the long term future
			1	1	1		Original End Date: N/A as phase programme of work	programme of work	Project Budget: £280k	Forecast spend: £280k Spend to date: £66k
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUM Progress/Issues	IARY		
Α	Α	A	G	G	G	G	Tottenham Town Hall			
	-	<b>ct Spo</b> ew Trav		:	<u>.</u>		<ul> <li>Following presentations and interviewing of bidders a report with recommendations is now scheduled for the Executive on 31<sup>st</sup> October.</li> </ul>			
	Proje	ct Man	ager				Hornsey Town Hall			
		h Kote					of a managing co	3 <sup>rd</sup> October approved proposa mpany/trust. This will enable r ner and future management ar	narketing with recommenda	tions on the preferred
							Hornsey Depot			
							• Report on the dev Executive on 31 <sup>st</sup>	velopment proposal, with recon October.	nmendations, is scheduled t	o report to EAB then The

		Regeneration Stream Board
(6)	COUNCIL OWNED LAND CONT.	Wards Corner and Apex House sites
		<ul> <li>Negotiations will be undertaken with the proposed developers of Wards Corner to determine the terms upon which the Council may be recommended to include Apex House within the scheme.</li> </ul>

							Regen	eration Stream Board			
(6)	WA	RDS	CO	RNE	R		PROJECT DESCRIPTON / OBJECTIVES				
							To develop Wards Corner.	The developer is the Grair	nger Trust.		
							Original End Date: March 2009	Current End Date: April 2010	Project Budget: £TBC	Forecast spend: £TBC Spend to date: £TBC	
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUMMA		hin the borough, situate		
Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Wards Corner is recognised as a gateway location within the borough, situated at the apex of Seven Sisters and Tottenham High Roads. For decades it has been in a dilapidated condition. The proposal is to provide a new landmark mixed use development scheme which will include residential and retail units alongside improvements to the safety and design of the Seven Sisters underground and railway stations.				
	Proje Justin Proje Fiona	Holli ct Ma	day anag				and is important for the su Deal for Communities (ND Council and the Bridge ND provide a quality developm At present there are issues process. Work is being un proceed. The current time to a number of matters, inc <b>Budget and Resources:</b> The overall rating is Ambe	ccessful transformation of T C) initiative also places a hi OC are working together to s nent which will improve the I s which require resolution be dertaken to address those is table for completion of this of cluding the timely resolution r. The current budget for la 3.5 million pounds. The ND	ottenham High Road and gh priority on the site's upport the regeneration iving, working and leisu efore moving to the nex ssues and agree a basis levelopment is 2010. He of planning and CPO is	n of this area by seeking to ure environment. At stage in the development s on which the parties can owever, this timetable is subject	

		Regeneration Stream Board
(6)	WARDS CORNER CONT.	Issues:         • Achieving the land acquisition within the projected costs         • Scheme costs         • The basis for including Apex House         • Planning permission and CPO consent         • Unfavourable public/community/business response to proposals         • An improved transport interchange         • Parking         The work required to enable Grainger Trust to achieve 65% land acquisition on the Wards Corner site is now well advanced. Completion of this acquisition will move Grainger Trust from a position of minority to majority land ownership in one step.         Risks:         • Planning: A protracted planning process resulting in significant delay         • CPO: This is time consuming and carries inherent risks. However, the parties are taking steps to minimise these and have factored in the possibility that there may be a CPO inquiry         • Rights of Light: Consultant have been instructed to identify an envelope within which a development can take place without any significant breach of rights of lights         • Development costs: The mixed land ownership on the site has resulted in high land assembly costs. The cost of development will need to be carefully considered and thereafter tightly controlled, to avoid any resulting adverse impact on viability         • Mayoral support for the scheme: The Mayors tool kit will be used to ensure that the scheme addresses any issues which should be considered prior to referring the development to the GLA.         • Engineering: The site is subject to complex engineering constraints due to the configuration of the tunnels, booking hall an

#### SECTION 2 – CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE STREAM BOARD

						Children &	Young People St	ream Board				
(1)	BUIL					PROJECT DESCRIPTION	N/OBJECTIVES					
	SCHC FOR 1	ГНЕ	FUT			The overall objective(s) for the BSF project in the London Borough of Haringey is to maximise the life chances of all young people, give parents choice of high quality schools where their children can learn with confidence and support schools to work at the heart of their communities						
						<ul> <li>Deliver a Strategic of Haringey and de</li> <li>Deliver an Outline as part of the BSF (</li> <li>Prepare the Londo involvement of the</li> <li>Implement delivery the borough, as we</li> <li>A major part of the</li> </ul>	Business Case (SBC tails how education tra Business Case (OBC programme; on Borough of Haring existing PFI provider ( of the BSF program Il as delivery of a new e programme in deliver	evidential Budget 2006/7: £22,757m	for the London Borough l; f schools to be delivered partners which includes ary schools. all secondary schools in			
Month Status This	Timesc ale Status Last	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUMMA	RY	(Total project budget to 2010/11: £177m)				
Α	A A	Α	G	Α	Α	<ul> <li>OBC has now been being dealt with by</li> <li>Discussions with SI</li> </ul>	return. MIF have concluded a		which have been			
	Projec Andrev Projec Gordo	w Tra ct Ma	avers I <b>nage</b>			<ul> <li>Discussions with SMIF have concluded and resulted in a protocol agreement which have been included in the OBC. A legal agreement is targeted for completion 15<sup>th</sup> December</li> <li>The project is progressing into the delivery phase and resources are being organised accordingly. The JPMT and subgroups are established and are meeting regularly. The remit of the subgroups is being reviewed for the delivery phase. Programmes for involvement of LBH IT and Procurement are being agreed</li> </ul>						

		Children & Young People Stream Board
<ul> <li>SCHOOLS FOR THE FUTURE CONT.</li> <li>This has been developed in discussion with PfS. An OJEU for ICT MSP is being prepared</li> <li>Solicitors progressing resolution of interface issues and have undertaken to integrate new works existing PFI contract</li> <li>Purchase of new site at Heartlands is progressing, with completion aimed for end of November</li> <li>There have been debates on ICT procurement, particularly with regard to competitive dialogue of negotiated OJEU procedures. Resolution is expected in the next week</li> <li>The implementation of the Communications Strategy is on-going.</li> <li>Construction works for the new 6th Form remains on programme</li> <li>Tenders have been received from designers and evaluation is now in hand, the intention to apported of year. 3 tenders rejected due to late delivery</li> <li>Insurance issues are being considered as part of the implementation protocol to be finalised by Separate work streams will report to JPMT^ are being established, as well as a Programme Sup Office. These work streams will comprise Construction, Transformation and ICT.</li> <li>Budget</li> <li>The total budget for the project is £167m (excluding a further £10m for the 6<sup>th</sup> Form Centre). This remains on target. Detailed cash-flow projections will be provided once the overall project progra is confirmed. Projected year on year budgets have been established. Further abnormal sums are be confirmed by PfS, however a contribution by LBH will be required to secure funds</li> <li>As the OBC has not yet been agreed, the major funding source for the construction works has not been confirmed so the Council will not incur such costs until funding is formally approved.</li> <li>Timescale</li> <li>An updated programme has been produced for inclusion in the OBC. To be validated at a worksl on 18<sup>th</sup> October.</li> </ul>	SCHOOLS FOR THE	<ul> <li>An OJEU for construction partners has been produced due for publication at the time of this report. This has been developed in discussion with PfS. An OJEU for ICT MSP is being prepared</li> <li>Solicitors progressing resolution of interface issues and have undertaken to integrate new works with existing PFI contract</li> <li>Purchase of new site at Heartlands is progressing, with completion aimed for end of November</li> <li>There have been debates on ICT procurement , particularly with regard to competitive dialogue or negotiated OJEU procedures. Resolution is expected in the next week</li> <li>The implementation of the Communications Strategy is on-going.</li> <li>Construction works for the new 6th Form remains on programme</li> <li>Tenders have been received from designers and evaluation is now in hand, the intention to appoint by end of year. 3 tenders rejected due to late delivery</li> <li>Insurance issues are being considered as part of the implementation protocol to be finalised by SMIF. Separate work streams will report to JPMT^ are being established, as well as a Programme Support Office. These work streams will comprise Constructions will be provided once the overall project programme is confirmed. Projected year on year budgets have been established. Further abnormal sums are to be confirmed by PIS, however a contribution by LBH will be required to secure funds</li> <li>As the OBC has not yet been agreed, the major funding source for the construction works has not been confirmed so the Council will not incur such costs until funding is formally approved.</li> </ul>

							Children & Young People Stream Board				
(2)	S F	PRIM SCHO PROJ LEVE	DOL: JEC	S CA TS			<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES</li> <li>To deliver primary school expansion and new pupil places at: <ul> <li>Coldfall - expansion of primary school from 2 to 3 forms of entry</li> <li>Tetherdown (Phase I – to increase pupil places from 210 to 420 by 2012-13)</li> <li>Coleridge</li> </ul> </li> <li>Tetherdown Phase II: To modernisation the school in accordance with asset management plan priorities</li> <li>Broadwater Farm TCF: Inclusion</li> </ul>				
							Original End Date: Various dates against the programme of projectsCurrent end date: Various dates against the programme of projectsProject Budget 06/07: £7.450m Revised budget 06/07: £6.9mSpend to date: £1.395m Forecast spend: £6.891m				
							MANAGEMENT SUMMARY				
Cold	Ifal				ī		<ul> <li>Overall programme</li> <li>The Primary Schools Capital Projects will be subject to a separate report to the Executive in November advising on a revised five-year capital programme for the Children's Service. This will reflect increased costs and re-phasing on these projects.</li> </ul>				
Status This Month	Last	Timesc ale Status	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks					
R	R	G	R	G	Α	Α	<ul> <li>Coldfall Expansion</li> <li>Project is proceeding to planned timescales</li> <li>The construction works are approximately 97% complete</li> </ul>				
		<b>Proje</b> Anne <b>Proje</b> Brend	Bristo ct Ma	ow anage			<ul> <li>The construction works are approximately 97% complete</li> <li>Budget         <ul> <li>There is a projected increase in project expenditure for client authorised variation, deferred works, additional design costs, presently unauthorised and unforeseen variations. The construction cost increase was reported by the project cost consultant to the project core group on 25th July 2006. The total estimated value of these projected variances (including additional design costs) is £491,000. These revised costs will be included in the report to Executive in November.</li> <li>The revised budget profile does not reflect the recent authorised budget increase of £95K for reception/entrance works.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				

	Children & Young People Stream Board
(2) PRIMARY SCHOOLS CAPITAL PROJECTS CONT. Tetherdown	<ul> <li>Tetherdown</li> <li>Status / Budget / Risks:</li> <li>The project will cost £500k more than the estimates upon which the current budget was based. is anticipated across the life of the project. This additional expenditure will be subject to the approval of the proposed five-year capital programme by the Executive in November.</li> </ul>
Risks Issues Resour ces Budget Timesc ale Status Last Last Month Month	<ul> <li>Progress</li> <li>The overall project is in delay against original programme. This has led to a change to admission arrangements in September 2007.</li> <li>The rest of this report focuses on the construction project.</li> </ul>
R G A R G A R	<ul> <li>The main contractor (Durkan) took possession of the site on 30 May 2006. The contract is due for completion on 2 October 2007 (70 weeks).</li> <li>The contractor is progressing the works and is on programme, with no delays reported</li> </ul>
Coleridge	<ul> <li>The concrete foundations have been completed.</li> <li>Columns at lower ground floor have been constructed.</li> <li>First floor slabs are being constructed.</li> <li>Drainage has been installed with pipework evident in the areas completed to date.</li> <li>Services ducts have been installed across existing playground</li> <li>No adverse reports received from school, neighbours or the public</li> </ul>
Risks Issues Resour ces Budget Timesc ale Status This Month	Coleridge Status / Budget / Risks:
R A A R G R R	<ul> <li>An £800,000 overspend is anticipated across the life of the project. This overspend will be subject to the approval of the proposed five-year capital programme by the Executive in November.</li> <li>Planning Conservation Officer and Development Planner advised that due to being in a conservation area, they would not support complete demolition, that any demolition proposal would have to be justified in planning terms, and that the Victorian house must be retained. (This scale of adaptation has increased costs).</li> <li>We are aiming at making a Planning Application on 14 November 2006.</li> <li>The planning application will include a significant amount of survey and recommendations on all aspects of the proposals. Consultation will increase up to 14 November 2006, and continue during the planning period (8 -10 weeks). Evidence of the additional consultation will be provided to the Planning Officer.</li> <li>The Stage C design and cost report needs to be signed off by the Council and school.</li> </ul>

							Children & Young People Stream Board
(2) Broa	S( Pl	ROJ	OOL	, S C <i>I</i> TS C			<ul> <li>Stage D design is progressing.</li> <li>The current cost estimate is £6.47m, £470k above budget. A report will be placed with Executive in November with a recommendation for any increase. Need to review impact of:         <ul> <li>Transport Assessment, impact, recommended actions, and consultation</li> <li>Form of procurement (New Haringey Framework with mini competition) and impact on programme. The programme has been amended to reflect 2 stage design and build so that the consultation with</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Month Status This Month	Status Last	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	the contractor can commence earlier. <ul> <li>Planning Application and Conservation Area requirements</li> </ul> <li>Broadwater Farm</li>
R	R R R G A R			Α	R	<ul> <li>A funding plan for this project has now been developed and stakeholders have been consulted. The RAG status in this report reflects the previous plan. Subject to agreement at 31 October Executive, statutory consultation will start in January and the project will be re-programmed accordingly.</li> </ul>	
							<ul> <li>Timescale / Risk</li> <li>The Broadwater Farm project was delayed by the decision to phase both Targeted Capital Fund projects with the secondary scheme at White Hart Lane. The White Hart Lane scheme has been now subsumed into the Building Schools for the Future plan for the whole White Hart Lane campus.</li> <li>Budget:</li> <li>Match funding of £1.48m is required from the Council to the approved Targeted Capital Fund (TCF) grant of £5m. This will be subject to the approval of the proposed five-year capital programme by the Executive in November.</li> <li>The programme is under review regarding contract options, EU requirements, consultation and Haringey procurement approval process.</li> <li>Issues:</li> <li>The accommodation provided would provide for very flexible resource areas for whole school use</li> <li>Project proposals incorporate BWF Children's Centre and anticipate shared community use of MUGA (Multiple Use Games Area) once secondary element relocates to WHL and the two special school buildings have been demolished</li> <li>Good external sport facilities with shared use (school and community) are likely to be more desirable in this area than additional affordable housing.</li> </ul>

							Children & Young People Stream Board	
	e I owlar	PRIM SCH PRO nds: I temer	OOL JEC nsur	.S C TS ( ance	CON		<ul> <li>Crowlands: Insurance reinstatement after fire</li> <li>The fire damaged block was made secure and unsafe structures removed by Squibb &amp; Davies during period October 2005 to 7 April 2006. During this period the building was stripped of damaged and redundant finishing's and fittings.</li> <li>The Council's selected construction partner – Jerram Falkus Construction Limited (JFCL) – occupied the building was applied by the selected construction partner – Jerram Falkus Construction Limited (JFCL) – occupied the building was applied by the selected by the selected construction partner – Jerram Falkus Construction Limited (JFCL) – occupied the building was applied by the selected by the sele</li></ul>	
Jiaius This Month	Risks Issues Resour ces Budget Timesc ale Status Last Last Month			Issues	Risks	site on 10 April 2006 to commence enabling works ahead of specialist trades being brought to site to commence roof re-instatement. Roof coverings are being installed.		
G	G	G	G	G	G	G	• Preparations have been made to commence a range of internal works. These will commence once roof covering has reached a certain point, expected in the next 2 weeks.	
							<ul> <li>Budget</li> <li>Professional fees totalling £125,000 have been identified which are not covered by the insurers. These fees will be subject to the approval of the proposed five-year capital programme by the Executive in November.</li> </ul>	

							Children & Young People Stream Board				
(3)	(3) CHILDREN'S NETWORKS						<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES</b> In July 2005 the Council Executive agreed a delivery model for the Children's Service based on three Children's Networks, each consisting of two Network Learning Communities (NLCs) of schools but also				
	L	EVE	L 1	PRC	JEC	T	<ul> <li>including all services for children and young people from statutory and voluntary agencies. Key aims are:</li> <li>Fully implement the Children's Trust approach to the delivery of services.</li> <li>Implement the Common Assessment Framework by September 2007 including establishing a decision-</li> </ul>				
	NB. This project includes the Children's Centres Project						<ul> <li>Implement the Common Assessment Framework by September 2007, including establishing a decision-making structure to oversee the assessment process</li> <li>Implement a protocol for exchanging information (with training, by September 2007) within and between agencies;</li> <li>Implement a strategic and operational commissioning strategy</li> <li>Establish 18 fully operational Children's Centres by April 2008, which will provide 700 new childcare places;</li> <li>14,759 children 'reached' by children's centres by March 2008;</li> <li>Implement the Family Support Strategy by March 2007;</li> <li>Support schools [at least 30 primary and 4 secondary] to provide a range of extended services by September 2008.</li> </ul>				
							Original End Date: Dec-2006       Current end date: Dec-2006       Original Budget: £5.181m       Spend to date: Not stated         End date last month: Dec-2006       Revised Budget: £7.748m       Forecast spend: Not stated				
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUMMARY Timescale				
Α	A A A R G G A						The Project is on track with the milestones in the PID and we brought forward the implementation date of the Common Assessment Framework to October; this is due mainly to issue around the management of the process.				
	D	)r Ita	O'Do	onso onova anage	n		In relation to Children's Centres: The Sure Start Unit timescale for the approval of Phase II capital programmes is for all projects to be at RIBA Stage D by Dec 2006, with a full implementation plans placed on the Sure Start website. Having				

Children & Young People Stream Board						
Robert Singh	identified priority areas (top 20% wards and 30% SOAs) we are currently visiting all organisations (schools, primary care trust, private nurseries and voluntary organisations) that have expressed an interest in being part of the second phase of development. As part of this feasibility stage, meetings have been organised to visit and begin the capital feasibility process.					
	Development Officers to develop a Local Planning Group (LPG) to ensure that all key stakeholders, including parents, are involved in the earliest stages of the development of the children's centre.					

		Children & Young People Stream Board
(3)	CHILDREN'S NETWORKS CONT.	By mid May we had commissioned feasibility studies based on extensive knowledge of each potential centre and site visits were conducted to meet with Headteachers and Directors/Heads of organisations who had registered an interest. A summary of the Phase 2 Children's Centre Scoping exercise has now been drafted with a number of recommendations. Final recommendations will be made to the Executive for November 2006. This will enable us to comply with the Sure Start Unit deadline of December 2006. <b>Budget</b> <u>Children's Centres</u> It is too early in the development to know if the allocated funding of £4.5m is sufficient. It is likely most of the new centres will be refurbishment of surplus space so will be less expensive than new build, however this assumption needs to be tested. Some phase II funding has had to be allocated to complete the phase I centres which will impact on available spend for phase II – we wait final out-turns on each capital project Throughout the country LAs are joining together to express their concerns that phase II funding is insufficient and that the uplift for London LAs will not meet the higher costs. We await the response from the Sure Start Unit – RS is chasing. <b>Resources</b> <u>Children's Centres</u> The General Sure Start Grant for Phase II capital development is £4.5m (2006-08). However, there is the opportunity to link this with capital funding for extended schools (approximately £697,000) as many of the children's centre projects link to the provision of out of school childcare (as required by the Childcare Bill). Children's Centre revenue is funding an additional support officer in Property and Contracts Team (Senior Project Manager for Children's Centre & Extended

		Children & Young People Stream Board
(3)	CHILDREN'S NETWORKS CONT.	Issues         Children's Centres         From the terms and conditions of the capital funding the centres are to be developed in the 20% most deprived wards and 30% super out put areas (i.e. smaller areas than wards). In some areas there may be difficulty in identifying potential accommodation (e.g. Bruce Grove where there is no capacity in the only school in the area to build sufficient space for childcare/activities). This is being addressed as part of the feasibility stage and by contacting a range of stakeholders to identify other possible premises. An alternative approach is to identify another centre/s on the perimeter of the ward to deliver services.         Risks       Children's Centres         The main risk is whether there is sufficient capital to develop all 8 new centres. The Children's Centres have to develop a wide range of services across broad areas which requires flexible accommodation. We are awaiting the response from the Sure Start Unit to issues raised around affordability. We await the outcome of the current round of visits to interested organisations.         In addition, we are aware that issues may develop around revenue funding for particular centres and will be working with all centres to closely monitor the situation.

	Children & Young People Stream Board									
(4)			SER			PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES				
	imp Pro	-	VEME Ct	ENT	•	• Develop an 'excellent'	Develop an 'excellent' and accessible Youth Service			
						Original End Date: TBC End date last month: TBC	Actual End Date: TBC	Original Budget: £N/A	Spend to date: £N/A Forecast Spend: £N/A	
Month Status This Month	Risks Issues Resour ces Budget Timesc ale Status Last Last Month Status					Amber traffic lights				
Α	A A A A A A A				AA	published on 10 Oct prepared to address	in June 2006 included an ober 2006. The inspection the areas identified for imp itored through the Project H	requires a Post Inspection provement/development. It	n Action Plan (PIAP) to be is therefore proposed that	
<b>Project Sponsor:</b> Janette Karklins <b>Project Manager:</b> Belinda Evans						<ul> <li>be the main agenda if</li> <li>Details <ul> <li>It has been agreed months. All staff determine whether</li> <li>The newly appointee ensure there is considered and the ensure there is considered and the ensure there is considered.</li> <li>A programme of a mobile, will have a construct the building work here is the ensure the ensure there is considered.</li> <li>The building work here is the building work here is the ensure the ensure the ensure there is considered.</li> <li>The main hall's floor pose a H&amp;S implicated programme of a first school ease.</li> <li>A full programme of a full programme of a first school ease.</li> <li>The Youth Service</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	item from the next meeting. If that the process of the provident of the process of the process of the provident of the process of the proces of the process of the process of the process of the pr	art time reorganisation will ion will be invited to an in- nent with the Youth Service. irance and Training Officer people's learning outcomes which will mean that the ted communities over the n e IT installation work is outs ome unattached from floor ered at Bruce Grove Youth erational in all centres exce n- going snagging issues. vas a celebration of the hol	continue for a further two aterview (October 2006) to is meeting with all staff to detached team, using the ext 6 months. standing. and use of main hall may of Centre two evenings and ept Bruce Grove, where we	

Children & Young People Stream Board					
•	<ul> <li>Meeting with Voluntary organisations who have used the Bruce Grove (prior to refurbishment) has taken place on 9<sup>th</sup> September.</li> <li>Youth Service has continued its involvement of the Peace Week by organising a football tournament (Bernie Grant Peace Cup) as well as various workshops throughout all youth centres. Youth Service also had a stall at Ducketts Common and some staff and young people participated in the Peace Walk</li> <li>An evaluation of the summer programme has taken place.</li> </ul>				

#### **SECTION 3 – WELL BEING STREAM BOARD**

	Well Being Stream Board									
(1)	(1) E-CARE						<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES</li> <li>Implementation of Framework-I (FW-I), a web-based social care workflow case management system in July 2005 to replace CI system</li> <li>Ensure that reliable operational and managerial information is produced for Council and Statutory reporting requirements.</li> <li>Have a consistent use of best practice across Social &amp; Children's Services and enable the quality of social work practices to improve.</li> <li>Facilitate 'joint working' by providing staff from external health agencies to access case information within Framework securely.</li> </ul>			
							Phase 2 End Date: Dec-07       Current End Date: Dec-07       Phase 2 Budget: £1788k       Spend to date: £270k         End date last month: Dec-07       Current End Date: Dec-07       Phase 2 Budget: £1788k       Spend to date: £270k			
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	<ul> <li>MANAGEMENT SUMMARY</li> <li>The Project Initiation Document (PID) for Phase 2 of eCARE was approved at the August project</li> </ul>			
G	G	G	G	G	Α	Α	board and presented to the September Well Being Stream Board.			

	Well Being Stream Board
	• Two introductory workshops were held with the two Finance User Groups (FUGs) on the 19/09 and 21/09. The purpose of these workshops was to provide both FUGs with a formal induction as to the role they are required to undertake to assist the project team with implementing FWI Purchasing. Both workshops were well attended and feedback received on both days was positive.
<b>Project Sponsor:</b> Catherine Galvin	<ul> <li>'AS-IS' workshops commenced in Sept and the project team are visiting all service areas in both Social Services and Children's &amp; Young People Services (C&amp;YP), between 25/09 – 13/10. The purpose of these is to capture the existing business processes and system procedures used by teams</li> </ul>
Project Manager: Andrew Rostom	to undertake their work. The next phase of workshops will commence in Nov where the project team will review their findings from the first workshops with the respective service area to validated them. The validated 'AS-IS' analysis work will be used as the basis for establishing / proposing the 'TO-BE' systems design.

		Well Being Stream Board
(1)	E-CARE CONT.	<ul> <li>Initial meetings have taken place between Corelogic and LBH to start formulating the Data Migration strategy. Once this has been reviewed and approved, a more detailed data migration plan will be developed to outline not only tasks to be undertaken by the project team, but also areas of data cleansing work to be undertaken by performance teams / services</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>A Change Management strategy has been developed and reviewed with OD&amp;L. This will be presented for discussion at the October Project Board. Change management tasks and activities are scheduled to commence from October.</li> </ul>
		Issues
		• The performance issues with the FWI Live server were fully resolved on 15/09. This matter was raised at the monthly service review meeting between Corelogic and LBH, and IT Service Delivery are currently investigating what sanctions (if any), could be imposed on Corelogic in view of FWI downtime in Sept.
		<ul> <li>Social Services is working with Corporate finance to handover the settlement of outstanding Techno park costs (both historic and ongoing), as it was agreed that these would be paid from a corporate budget</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Risks</li> <li>A meeting took place on 20/09 to discuss how the C&amp;YP Reconciliation project will need to work collaboratively with eCARE Phase 2. A separate highlight report will be submitted to the eCARE project board.</li> </ul>

	Well Being Stream Board									
(2)	(2) COMMUNITY CARE STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION Project Sponsor: Mary Hennigan						<ul><li>and build a 32 bed res</li><li>To refurbish 3 long-ter</li></ul>	V/OBJECTIVES Osborne Grove long-term re pite care home for older peo m residential care homes to 2 out of borough long term re	ple. a high standard.	ler people and to design
		rojec avid I		ager	•		Original End Date: May-07 End date last month: May-07	Current End Date: May-07	Project Budget: £5.65m	Spend to date: £2.522m Forecast spend: £5.65m
Osh	orr	ne Gr	0.00				MANAGEMENT SUMMA			
					_			lew Build 32 Bed Respite C		
Status This Month	Last Month	ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks		site meeting was held on the ks delay, however the overa		
G	G	G	G	G	G	G		<b>hment)</b> Despite a number of meetin ast few months a practical co		
		ed Ho	use				has been achieved however a definitive list of incomplete items is considerable and is currently being worked through. The residents relocated to Cranwood and Broadwater moved back on the 25 <sup>th</sup> and			
s This Mont	Last Month	scale	Budg et	Reso urces	lssue s	Risks	As a result of the s	06 respectively. Communica ix week delay in completing t talling £28,500 from the con	the refurbishment, the Cour	
R	R R R G A R A					Α	<ul> <li>As a result of the c than those in the te</li> </ul>	ontractors overall performan indered specification. There	ce a decision was made to fore although additional wo	rks have been identified
T T		rscro	ft				<ul> <li>and scoped they are currently being procured as a separate mini-project. In addition landscaping was removed from the main contract due to the need to undertake works at appropriate seasonal times.</li> <li>Furniture and equipment requirements are currently being managed; new furniture has been received in addition to obtaining items from Trentfield.</li> </ul>			
s This Mont	Mont	s l ast	et	Reso urces	lssue s	Risks	Cooperscroft (TUPE)	completion for the disposal	of Cooperscroft was succes	sful on the 30 <sup>th</sup> September
G	G	G	G	G	G	G	2006.			
							• £3,138,900.39 rece	eived from Rockley Dene.		
								Page 33 of 63		33

#### **SECTION 4 – BETTER HARINGEY STREAM BOARD**

## SECTION 5 – HOUSING STREAM BOARD

Housing Improvement Partnership Board								
(1) REPAIRS PROCUREMENT	<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES</li> <li>To achieve the procurement of a value for money responsive repairs and maintenance service for Haringey's tenants and leaseholders.</li> <li>Test the R+M market in order to identify providers of the service best able to deliver a fit for purpose solution for both the council and its housing clients.</li> <li>High level objectives for this project are to:         <ul> <li>establish and confirm resources to oversee development of service specification, appointment of external resources and the procurement process</li> <li>develop and implement a housing repairs procurement strategy that adopts modern procurement practices that aim to secure value for money and efficiencies.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Original End Date: Apr-07 Current End Date: Apr-07 Project Budget: £100,000 Spend to date: £Nii</li> </ul>							
	End date last month: Apr-07 Forecast spend: £100,000							
Risks Issues Resour ces Budget Budget Timesc ale Status Last Last Month	<ul> <li>MANAGEMENT SUMMARY</li> <li>Following revisions to the delivery programme, the overall RAG status remains Green.</li> <li>A soft market test was held on 20 September and board Report presented on 27 September.</li> </ul>							
GGAGGGAProject Sponsor: Stephen ClarkeProject Manager: Ridge and Partners	<ul> <li>The OJEU notice and PQQ have been developed and are ready for issue.</li> <li>HR have assembled initial TUPE information.</li> <li>The Board, advised by EMT, has decided to seek alternative bids in respect of vehicle leasing and call centre.</li> <li>Leaseholder consultation notice has been approved and should now have been issued to all leaseholders.</li> <li>Meeting with Director of Environment was undertaken by HfH CEX to identify holistic approach to Accornegotiations.</li> <li>DLO staff notified about intended procurement.</li> <li>Ridge confirmed as project manager.</li> <li>Risk: Risk has been highlighted as amber and is contingent on the outcome of key concerns including: <ul> <li>The cost of a new provider may exceed the budget available in Housing Revenue Account medium term financial strategy.</li> <li>Buy- in and change management associated with a possible TUPE of repairs staff.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							

							Housing Imp	provement Partnership	Board						
(2)		DAF ROJ			S		<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES</li> <li>To implement a new service structure and processes in Occupational Therapy and Adaptations based on the recommendations of the scrutiny review and business process re-design project.</li> <li>The aim of these changes will be to improve the end-to-end process time for users, from the first point of contact with the council to completion and sign off of adaptations, so that it does not exceed 164 days.</li> <li>The project will also implement a new performance management system, develop shared IT system usage and contribute to a 2* score in the ALMO inspection.</li> </ul>								
							Original End Date: Dec-06 End date last month: Dec-06Current End Date: Dec-06 Dec-06Project Budget: £2,350mSpend to date: £440k Forecast spend: £2,350m								
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resourc es	Issues	Risks	Activities	MANAGEMENT SUMMARY Activities							
Α	Α	Α	G	G	Α	Α	<ul> <li>All key processes have been examined and mapped and an action plan has been prepared. The procedures manual is waiting amendment to align with the new structure.</li> <li>Phase 2 PID developed, to be presented to the project board on the 9<sup>th</sup> October 2006.</li> </ul>								

		Housing Improvement Partnership Board
		• New structure implemented: new admin and surveyors started 02/10/06. They are working as a team from 639 as accommodation is not available presently. They are cleaning up all cases which require closing, payments and retentions and will be putting new cases on site to ensure this year's spend.
	<b>Project Sponsor:</b> Anne Bristow <b>Project Manager:</b> Gary Jefferson	<ul> <li>Budget</li> <li>The budget status has returned to green. £1.3m additional capital obtained; £319,000 to private sector adaptations, £981,000 to council property. Authorisation to be requested to potentially move capital between private and public sector adaptations to ensure full commitment and the achievement of targets.</li> <li>Commitment within council housing is £1,534,500.</li> <li>Commitment within Disabled Facilities Grants (DFG) and repairs is £800,000, a further £319,000 will be committed to 2 surveyor posts (£40k) and £279,000 additional approved grants to go on site shortly. This will enable an additional 25 adaptations to be put on site; however this could leave in excess of 250 cases which have been assessed as outstanding. This could relate to a spend of £1.8m. Next years allocation of £800k will deal with approx 900 cases. It is likely that 250 new cases could be assessed next year and therefore the problem is clear that waiting lists in the private sector will continue to grow unless cases can be resolved alternatively.</li> </ul>
(2)	ADAPTATIONS PROJECT CONT'D	<ul> <li>Issue         <ul> <li>On-line catalogue is not yet operational. An exception report was requested at the last HIPB.</li> <li>SAP materials management went live on 18<sup>th</sup> September but due to technical difficulties with financial postings it was taken down. Problems have now been resolved and it will be back running on the 11<sup>th</sup> October.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Timescale         <ul> <li>Framework I go-live delayed until 31<sup>st</sup> October 2006 (slipped from 1<sup>st</sup> Oct 2006). This is due to resource constraints in the E-Care Team.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Risk         <ul> <li>Contingency plan needs to be drafted if funding is not secure for council property adaptations 07/08 onwards and £1.450 has been put into the PBPR.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	Housing Improvement Partnership Board												
· /	MPT ROJE		ROPE	ERT	Y	<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES</li> <li>To implement all the recommendations of the Empty Property Management Review by October 06.</li> <li>To improve performance on the process of managing empty properties including customer satisfaction and VFM by the next inspection in April 07.</li> <li>To improve the standard of repair and cleanliness within empty properties by October 06.</li> <li>To reduce the overall turnaround time to 27 days by October 06.</li> <li>To identify areas of further review and improvement by March 07.</li> </ul>							
						Original End Date: Apr-07 End date last month: Apr-07	Current End Date: Apr-07	Project Budget: £40,000	Spend to date: £0 Forecast spend: £40,000				
Last Month This Month	Timesca le Status	Budget	Resourc es	Issues	Risks	Management Summary For the month of September, there has been significant progress on reducing the monthly turnaround figure, reducing the total number of current voids to the lowest figure for this financial year and on increasing the percentage of voids being repaired and let within the 27 day target to the highest figure for this financial year. The year to date turnaround figure continues to be high reflecting the fact that we are letting a substantial							
RR	Α	Α	А	Α	R								
						backlog of voids with considerable void periods and are not turning around a sufficient number of properties within the 27 day target.							
	Projec	ct Sp	onso	or:		Progress has been made on reducing the backlog of voids held within the Voids Repair Team and this reduction will allow the team to concentrate on turning around new voids more quickly.							
	Beverl <b>Projec</b> Georg	ct Ma	inage			The Lettings Team have also made progress on increasing the monthly acceptance rate to the highest figure for four months and in maintaining the number of successful lets to the high level achieved in August – these improvements are predominately due to offers being validated more effectively as well as last month's introduction of accompanied viewings.							
						A further review of <u>all</u> voids at the repair stage (as at 17/9/06) has been completed and target repair dates have been set for all voids to include those with Structures, day to day repairs, those undergoing mini-modernisations and adaptations.							

		Housing Improvement Partnership Board
(4)	EMPTY PROPERTY PROJECT CONT.	Work has been completed this month to project the monthly and year to date turnaround performance taking into account the current voids held and the anticipated number of new voids from October 06 to March 07 inclusive.
		From this, the year to date turnaround performance which currently stands at 63.61 days is projected to fall to 45 days by the end of March 07.
		A comparative analysis of turnaround performance has also been completed for new voids received and let since April as well as for all voids held and let. This analysis is contained within this report.
		Key priorities for October include:-
		<ul> <li>Pre-allocation of all 'offerable voids'</li> <li>Further reducing the total number of voids and the number of voids at the repair stage</li> <li>Pro-actively managing the voids held at 17/9/06 as a separate project</li> <li>Turning around more new voids within the 27 day target</li> <li>Ensuring that the information recorded on OHMS is correct - in particular ready for let dates</li> <li>Addressing productivity issues within the Void Repairs Team and Lettings Team</li> <li>Assessing the implications of Home Connections and gearing the organisation for its implementation</li> </ul>
		Generally, there will be an increased focus on ensuring that voids at the repair stage are turned around more speedily and the Voids Manager will hold weekly performance meetings with each Voids Co-ordinator to facilitate the objective.
		The Lettings Team will also concentrate resources on pre-allocation, accompanied viewings and the speedy letting of voids; particularly VUNs.
		<ul> <li>Budget <ul> <li>Additional Lettings staff will impact on budget</li> <li>Additional contractor spend will impact on budget</li> <li>No budget allowed for printing and publication of Lettable Standard: 16 page full colour document x 10,000 copies - £4262.25. 20 page full colour document x 10,000 copies - £5359</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

							Housing Imp	provement Partnership	o Board		
(4)	AN OF		HOU DNS				<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES</li> <li>The closure of the separate homelessness foyer at Apex House and the delivery of the service via Customer Services in one site on a trial basis, extending to four if the trail is successful.</li> <li>To merge the housing advice and homelessness teams.</li> <li>Increased emphasis on helping applicants to help themselves and to encourage the widest possible use of private sector accommodation as an alternative to Council-based temporary accommodation. In so doing, to reduce the number/proportion of applicants for which we accept housing duty, as alternative options will be offered.</li> </ul>				
							Original End Date: 30-Aug-05 End date last month: 30-May-06	Current End Date: Jan-2007	Original Budget: £0 Revised Budget: £123,000	Spend to date: £0 Forecast spend: £123,000	
Month Status This Month	Status Last	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUMMARY Successful official launch on the 4 October 2006. Very good attendance by both stakeholders and members. The new P&O manager – Hilda Bond is settling into the post well and is being provided support by				
G	G	G	G	G	G	G	both the staff and manager	•		is soling provided support by	
		<b>ojec</b> lian	-	onso	or:		Problems with the Rent Service have led to the slow down of available housing options for our customers but the Housing Supply Team have recently met with them and we should see some improvement soon. Due to further successful appointments the P&O service will soon be running to full staff capacity and which will allow for the development of homeless prevention work.				
	Pro TB	-	t Ma	inage	er:		A meeting with Unison has also been held to review risk assessments for both Wood Green Customer Service Centre and Apex House. Although it was agreed to leave unchanged it must be noted that staff and the health and safety rep present stated that working practices have improved dramatically since the launch especially in regard to stress levels.				

							Housing Imp	rovement Partnership	o Board	
(5)	AL		CAT	AN ION			<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES</li> <li>Develop and implement a new allocations policy and pointing scheme for the London Borough of Haringey that meets housing need and supports council strategy.</li> <li>Develop and implement allocations procedures and validations processes;</li> <li>Undertake a review of the current Housing Register and implement improvement activities;</li> <li>Undertake a re-registration exercise for all clients currently on the Housing Register;</li> <li>Implement Home Connections, a new choice based lettings service, which will become LB Haringey's method for allocating housing;</li> <li>Improve the lettings service and in particular the letting of sheltered accommodation.</li> </ul>			
							Original End Date: Dec-06 End date last month: Dec-06	Current End Date: Dec-06	Project Budget: Budget under development	
This Month	Status Last <u>Month</u>	Timescal e	Budget	Resourc es	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUMMARY Executive approval for the Lettings Policy was given on 12 September 2006.			
R	Α	R	G	А	R	Α	<b>Timescales</b> / <b>Resources</b> / <b>Risks:</b> The start date for the electronic Re-Registration exercise projected to begin on 21 <sup>st</sup> August 2006 was delayed but commenced on 30 <sup>th</sup> August 2006. All forms were dispatched and the formal date for return has			
	Juli Pro	ian H	ligsoi Man	ager			passed. There have been a Home Connections is signif briefly at the HIPB on 2 Oct any delay. These intervention basis and steps to reduce the action plan outlining these reduces the second steps to reduce the s	approximately 11,000 return icant, and this is not likely ober 2006. A number of int on measures include the ap he day-to-day managemen measures has been prepar hase in terms of ICT solution	ns to date. The impact of the re-registration delay on to go live by the projected date. This was discussed tervention measures have been agreed to minimise ppointment of additional expert staff on a temporary at workload of key staff involved in the project. An red.	

## SECTION 6 – PEOPLE STREAM BOARD

							People Stream Board					
(1)		QUA Evie	NL P EW	AY			Project Description/ObjectivesTo implement a new pay and conditions package that takes account of equal value considerations and meets the 2004 National Local Government Services pay deal for single status harmonisation of manual and officer conditions. To this end the pay and conditions package will deliver the following:• A new pay and grading structure with simplified grades and progression criteria• One job evaluation scheme to be used for all staff within a specified pay range• Pay protection arrangements• A set of premium rates to be applied to employees required to workOriginal End Date: 31-03-07Current End Date: 31 MarchProject Budget: £100,000Spend to date: None					
							Revised End date: 31-03-07 2007 Forecast Spend: £100,000					
Month Status This Month	Status Last	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	<ul> <li>MANAGEMENT SUMMARY</li> <li>The national pay agreement 2004 for Local Government Service workers ensures that Local Pay</li> </ul>					
G	G	Α	G	G	G	G	Reviews must be completed and implemented by 31 March 2007. The national agreement also specifies that management and trade unions should enter into negotiations, with a view to reaching an agreement on new local pay structures and systems.					
	An Pre	idrew ojec	v Tra	nage			<ul> <li>A Pay and Conditions Proposal has been drafted to address the above. This outlines proposed changes to the pay structure, the job evaluation process, allowance proposals and suggested changes to other employee benefits.</li> <li>The above proposal has been shared with the Project Board and Trade Union Representatives.</li> <li>Following the initial meeting to discuss the proposal with Trade Union Representatives, negotiations have been extended beyond the original target date. This is due to the contentious nature of some of the issues in the proposal and the increased length of time needed for negotiations required to reach agreement on these issues.</li> </ul>					

	People Stream Board
(1) EQUAL PAY REVIEW CONT.	<ul> <li>Progress since last period</li> <li>Due to conflicting meetings, meetings were not held with Trade Union Representatives to discuss the following (an additional meeting will be scheduled for October to address this)         <ul> <li>Price tag and assimilation</li> <li>Initial and future job evaluations</li> <li>Accident pay</li> <li>Long service awards</li> <li>Pay date</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The following aspects have already been agreed: pay structure, range grades, pay progression, recruitment and retention allowances</li> <li>Meeting with Change Manager to discuss communication did not take place due to staff absence.</li> <li>Timescales <ul> <li>Stakeholder negotiations deadline were extended from March to October this year. Negotiations with Trade Unions are not now expected to be completed until December. This means that timescales for implementation will have to be shortened and more work will be required in the last quarter of this financial year. We still expect to meet the main timescales for implementation.</li> <li>As the negotiations will take longer than originally anticipated there may be an impact on the subsequent timescales but at this stage there is no anticipated affect on the project budget or overall implementation date because less jobs will be evaluated than originally envisaged. As the project is only in its early stages this will be monitored and reviewed at a later date.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

							Ре	ople Stream Board				
(2)		VES EOP	-	RS I	N		<ul> <li>Project Description/Objectives</li> <li>This project covers the activities to achieve success in the post recognition review for Investors in People Standard by April 2007 and retain IiP status.</li> </ul>					
							Original End Date: Apr-07 End date last month: Apr-07	Current End Date: Apr-07	Project Budget: £11,000	Spend to date: £600 Forecast Spend: £11,000		
Status This Month	Status Last	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUMMAF Progress	Ϋ́				
G	G	A	G	G	G	G	It has been agreed that we should reschedule the re-recognition process to Oct 07, given the results of the					
							The current milestones in the IiP PID will be revised to take account of the rescheduling of the recognition process, from Jan 07 – Oct 07. The revised milestones will include the new Competency Framework and Performance Appraisal and the Leadership Programme Phase 3 as these initiatives will be included in the scope of the IiP re-recognition process. The work on the Impact projects will continue and the Evaluation project will be included in the Corporate Framework timetable. The revised milestones and a Corporate Action Plan will be presented at the November Stream Board meeting.					
	Ph Pre	oject nilippa oject nren F	a Mo t <b>Ma</b> i	rris n <b>age</b>			An Impact Project Group methodology has been drawn up and will be presented at this Stream Board meeting. Also, meetings have been held with the service heads of the three Impact Project Groups, BLT, Housing Services and Parking.					
	i tu						<ul> <li>Risks</li> <li>Making it Happen groups are up and running or there is a commitment to establishing them in all directorates. There is a risk to the project if these groups are not effective. They were an essential part of the process of gaining liP status in 2005. To mitigate this risk, OD&amp;L consultants are attached to each MiH group to drive forward the work programme.</li> <li>Reshaping of the council structure may affect staff morale. We are mitigating this risk by rescheduling to allow the restructuring time to embed. We also have change management principles and methods applied in reorganisation projects.</li> </ul>					

							People Stream Board				
(3)	HE	EAL	ТΗ	ATE & SA PLAN	<b>FE</b>	ГҮ	<ul> <li>Project Description/Objectives</li> <li>To reduce work related accidents by 30% by 2010. Interim Targets will seek a reduction of approximately 10% per year. (To be tested by Corporate Audit in 2007-2008)</li> <li>To improve the Council's Health and Safety Management Systems so that all Directorates integrate service health safety and welfare issues into Business Planning and Performance Management by March 2007</li> <li>To increase knowledge and awareness amongst managers and other staff about their health and safety roles and responsibilities by March 2007. (To be tested by Corporate Audit in 2007-2008)</li> <li>To form an effective partnership with the Health and Safety Executive in demonstrating best practice in health and safety performance by March 2007.</li> </ul>				
							Original End Date: 31-03-07 End date last month: 31-03-07Current End Date: 31-03-07 staff time. See note below.Budget: £N/A – activities to be met from current budgets or staff time. See note below.				
Month Status This Month	Status Last	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUMMARY Progress				
G	G	G	Α	G	G	G	<ul> <li>The new corporate health and safety policy was presented to Corporate Health and Safety Committee on 27th September. Directorate Service Liaison Officers will now cascade the policy to all service workplaces under their responsibility. It was agreed that the forthcoming Council reshaping will mean that a further review of the policy will be necessary during April 2007.</li> <li>The Stress Policy has been revised and is awaiting final comments from the Working Group and Trade Union representatives. All comments to be received by 13th October.</li> </ul>				
		<b>ojec</b> uart `		onso Ig	r:		• It is intended that the Stress Policy Working Group should be transferred to the HR Well-Being Strategy Group once the new corporate policy has reached final agreement. The project manager will continue to lead this group.				
		<b>ojec</b> ave C		nage	r:		• The E-Learning Software being procured by Organisational Development and Learning is being finalised and will be ready for demonstration during October. The Corporate Health and Safety Team will agree the roll-out strategy with OD&L by 13th October.				
							• A Social Services representative has joined the Project Board with effect from October 2006.				

	People Stream Board											
(3)	CORPORATE HEALTH & SAFETY ACTION PLAN	<ul> <li>Budget</li> <li>The funding of the e-learning software package amounts to £18,000 and will be provided by Organisational Development and Learning. Necessary changes to the Project Initiation Document have been made and will be submitted to the October Stream Board.</li> </ul>										
		<ul> <li>Issues</li> <li>Responses from Directors/ Assistant Chief Executive's for additional Safety Liaison Officer resources for Corporate Health and Safety Committee to cover Access, Legal, Customer Services and Neighbourhood Management have still not been received. Head of Personnel to resolve.</li> </ul>										

## SECTION 7 – VALUE FOR MONEY STREAM BOARD

	Value for Money Stream Board (1) ASSETS STRATEGY PROJECT DESCRIPTON / OBJECTIVES													
(1)	AS	SET	S SI	RA	ΓEG	Y	PROJECT DESCRIPTON / OBJECTIVES							
							To ensure development and implementation of the corporate Asset Management Plan and the effective provision and utilisation of assets to support the Council's overall objectives. Specific project objectives are to:- <ul> <li>Introduce a single framework for the corporate management of property which will increase the efficiency and effectiveness of building management.</li> </ul>							
							<ul> <li>Rationalise the accommodation portfolio and provide office space which is suited to modern ways of working.</li> <li>Review of Commercial and Community Building portfolios to align them with Council priorities and value for money objectives</li> </ul>							
								ginal End Date: Dec-2008 I date last month: Dec-2008	Current End Date: Dec-2008	Original Budget: £2319k Revised Budget: £3063k	Spend to date: £349.6k Forecast spend:£2545k (£518k variation carried over to 2007/08)			
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	CORPORATE MANAGEMENT OF PROPERTY							
А	А	Α	G	G	G	G	•		g the transfer of management proprate Property Services,					
	Proje Andre Proje	ew Tra ct Ma	avers anag	er:			<ul> <li>A new communications role has been established to ensure continuous and clear communications throughout the project and liaise with Key Stakeholders across the Council to take Corporate Management of Property forward. This additional resource has enabled an evaluation of the Helpdesk's performance and user satisfaction. Feedback from staff and customers has been positive.</li> <li>The Helpdesk report writer is now fully installed and management information is in the process of being</li> </ul>							
	Dines	h Ko	techa	l			extracted and analysed. An initial assessment of backlog of maintenance and compliance issues has been made across the portfolio. Progress has been made with Raindrop who have agreed to address issues about support for the report writer.							

	Value for Money Stream Board									
<b>`</b>	ASSETS STRATEGY CONT.	<ul> <li>ACCOMMODATION STRATEGY</li> <li>Refurbishment of Alexandra House is continuing to timescale. A Capital bid has been submitted in the Business Planning process in relation to refurbishment of 40 Cumberland Road using the new office model established in River Park House.</li> <li>Work is continuing to establish future accommodation requirements and complete the vacation of buildings (Town Halls and Civic Centre) from Phase 1.</li> <li>Timescale:         <ul> <li>Timescales for the refurbishment of 40 Cumberland Road are not known. This is now subject to Capital bid thus work is unlikely this financial year. Decant space needs to be identified.</li> </ul> </li> <li>PORTFOLIO REVIEWS         <ul> <li>PIDs have been prepared for reviews of Commercial and Community Buildings portfolios. The timescale for reporting is March 2007 and Executive on 31<sup>st</sup> October 2006 respectively.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>								

							Value for Money Stream Board				
(2)	PRO						<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTON / OBJECTIVES</li> <li>To deliver Gershon recommended efficiencies in terms of cashable and non-cashable savings.</li> <li>To achieve a target of £2m (£3m stretch target) savings over 2005/7 (£2m cashable, £1m non cashable).</li> <li>To deliver against National Procurement Strategy milestones and deliver procurement objectives.</li> <li>To ensure the Council's Procurement Strategy is updated, published and embedded.</li> <li>To develop a best practice model for the provision of temporary staff and permanent recruitment.</li> <li>Analysis of annual procurement spend (2004/5) on goods and services has identified key areas for efficiency review. These are Bought in Legal Services, Temporary Accommodation, Training Consultants, Marketing and Communications and Transport Services.</li> <li>Original End Date: Apr-2007</li> <li>End date last month: Apr-2007</li> </ul>				
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUMMARY       Exception Report				
R							<ul> <li>The September Procurement Project Board was cancelled due to annual leave resulting in a lack of attendees.</li> <li>Management information is being generated globally and by directorate/BU by Hays and should be available week beginning 9 October. This will provide information on number of agency placements and charge (£). This will be logged as a regular request and timed to tie in with this highlight report. This will provide more up to date information that is available at any time on SAP as, although there is now a better flow of invoices, there will always be inherent delays.</li> <li>Savings originally identified have either, failed to produce the expected savings, or the savings have been put against budget reductions. A paper was presented to CEMB at the beginning of October setting out options to deal with the current issues. Following discussion at CEMB it was agreed that a further review of the Procurement programme and processes will be undertaken. The Head of Procurement will be developing a briefing paper on the Transport Procurement Project for Members which will be reported to VfM Stream Board.</li> </ul>				

							Value for Money Stream Board		
(3)	USE	OF	RE	SOU	RCE	ES	PROJECT DESCRIPTON / OBJECTIVES         The project will implement the action plan developed in response to the Audit Commission assessment of the Use of Resources block of the CPA completed in October 2005. The aims include:         • To achieve an overall level 4 criteria of the Key Lines of Enquiry in September 2006         • To improve from level 2 to levels 3 and 4 on value for money and internal control respectively.         • To improve from level 3 to level 4 on financial standing, financial reporting and financial management.         • To show progress on District Audit recommendations from September 2005 by April 2006.         Original End Date: Dec-06       Current End Date: Dec-06		
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget G	Resour ces G	Issues G	Risks G	<ul> <li>MANAGEMENT SUMMARY</li> <li>The project will implement the Use of Resources Action Plan.</li> <li>A report with the revisions to the efficiency review methodology was presented to the value for money stream board in August. An efficiency review in benefits and local taxation has begun and additional recommendations for reviews include learning disabilities and street cleaning. The report will go to management board on Oct 24<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>The Use of Resources self assessment has been submitted in mid September and will also be audited in</li> </ul>		
	<b>Proje</b> Geral <b>Proje</b> Kevin	d Alm	nerotl anag	n			<ul> <li>October.</li> <li>Results of the Use of Resources and Value for Money will be known in December 2006 but will not be published until February 2007.</li> <li>The partnership list has been requested by the audit commission as evidence for the use of resources. The list needs to be updated by member services.</li> <li>Timescales:</li> <li>The review of effectiveness and costs of debt recovery was due to be completed by March 2006. This was pushed back to July/August 2006 but has not yet been completed. An exception report is required for the next UOR meeting on debt recovery.</li> </ul>		

							Value for	r Money Stream Board		
(4)	BEN TAX REV	ATIO			AL		<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTON / OBJECTIVES</li> <li>To identify the reasons for Haringey's BLT service appearing to be a high cost service in relation to similar Local Authorities.</li> <li>To establish/verify current cost, performance and productivity levels and to make comparison to similar Local Authorities and the wider market place. Make recommendations for cost reduction, and/or improved performance/productivity, if applicable.</li> <li>To examine the correlation between cost and performance and to establish whether high cost is a factor in achieving a high performing service.</li> <li>To establish current and predicted volumes of work and the optimum resource levels required to handle them and to review the current staffing structure to reflect the current and predicted future need. (This will be referenced to the Council's desired CPA Use of Resources and VFM scores)</li> <li>To examine the performance of Customer Services and the CITS provision to the BLT service in terms of cost and quality. Where appropriate make recommendations to improve the quality of service received.</li> <li>To identify other models for service provision (added by VFM stream board)</li> </ul>			
z ()	Z (0	-	B	л		-	MANAGEMENT SUMMAR	<u> </u> ?Y		
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	The purpose of the projec	t is to carry out a Value for I	Money (VFM) review of Benefits and Local Taxation	
G	G	G	G	G	G	Α			aringey Council's BLT service as high cost in relation ne source data, addressing the Council's efficiency and	
				5			<b>U</b>	, , , ,	e of Resources block of the CPA.	
	-	ect Sp Ellicot		or:			The review started in September and this is the first Project Highlight Report covering the period 1 – 30th September 2006.			
	-	ect Ma	•	er:			<ul> <li>The key activities undertaken during the period are outlined below:</li> <li>Initial project documentation including Project Brief and draft Project Plan signed-off by Project Board</li> <li>Service self assessment completed and returned to Project Manager</li> <li>Initial interviews with relevant staff undertaken.</li> <li>Internal financial and performance data/information for BLT and Customer Services identified and requests made for relevant documents.</li> </ul>			

Value for Money Stream Board								
(4) BENEFITS AND LOCAL TAXATION VFM REVIEW CONT.	<ul> <li>Existing external financial and performance benchmarking material identified</li> <li>Customer survey activity identified.</li> <li>Risks: <ul> <li>Lack of quality benchmarking data. Informal contacts will be used if information is not being made available.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							

							Value for Money	Stream Board				
(5)	TRAI	-		-	L		<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTON / OBJECTIVES         Business Intelligence (BI)         To provide management information (MI) on the type, value, term, product category and financial value of all contracts. To also capture information on health and safety, equalities, BME/SME, risk, performance and contract monitoring.     </li> <li>Implement the Contract Management System (CMS)</li> <li>To act as a document depository for all contracts over £5K</li> <li>To provide a tool to send out tenders and deal with incoming bids, providing an on-line capability for the evaluation of tenders and effective communication with suppliers and users.     </li> <li>SAP Upgrade ERP 2005</li> <li>Deliver an as-is upgrade from SAP R3 4.6c to My SAP ERP 2005         Transactional Efficiency         Reengineer back office processes across the council to increase efficiency and value for money for our customers.         Original End Date:         Current End Date:         Project Budget: £500k         (upgrade &amp; transactional         Profiled budget to date:         EST.946k         Profiled budget to date:         EST.946k         Profiled budget to date:         EST.946k      </li> </ul>					
							CMS: 5-Dec-06 Upgrade: 31-Oct-06 MANAGEMENT SUMMARY	CMS: 5-Dec-06 Upgrade: 31-Oct-06	efficiency)	£45.741k		
CMS	\$						MANAGEMENT SUMMARY					
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	lssues	Risks	<ul> <li>CMS</li> <li>The cFolder resource from Logic Access has been provided to the</li> </ul>					
Α	R	G	G	G	Α	Α	<ul> <li>We are on track for the completion of the priority scripts for CMS (eTendering) estimated completion is the 8<sup>th</sup> October with less priority ones being completed during testing. Two resources have been</li> </ul>					
	<b>Proje</b> Andre <b>Proje</b> Ian <i>A</i> Kamla	ew Tra	avers anag ws /	e <b>rs:</b> Jane			<ul> <li>identified to support the test mar on training material for CMS in re</li> <li>The Change Management works that CMS would affect. A commu on communications going out to</li> </ul>	hager in the production of the eadiness for the phased ro shop went ahead on the 24 unications Plan was drafted	esting material, and to Il out in 2007. <sup>th</sup> August and identified and has been agreed	also commence work d areas of the business		

							Value for Money Stream Board		
(5) Busin	(5) TRANSACTIONAL EFFICIENCY CONT. Business Intelligence						<ul> <li>Risks/Issues:</li> <li>Due to the project Team becoming more familiar with the CMS System, there are various changes that are needed to ensure that it meets requirements of the business, there are also requirements from the business that were not specified in the Blueprint, due to this both Logica's and Haringey's Project Managers will be drafting a change / issues log with priorities, which will need to be agreed at the Board.</li> </ul>		
Status This Month					Issues	Risks	BI Timescales / Issues/Risks:		
R	R	R	G	G	R	Α	<ul> <li>The work on the standard 10 reports has been completed as far as it can. Validation of the data extracted into the reports has not been possible due to the problems encountered with the BW PRD server. Documentation has been provided by LogicaCMG to enable the FST to understand the build of info- providers (the way data is stored in BW for compiling reports). This documentation together with skills</li> </ul>		
Upgra	ade						<ul> <li>gained from external training courses should enable internal FST staff to undertake BI report development with reduced input from LogicaCMG in the future.</li> <li>A deadline of 24<sup>th</sup> August was set for LogicaCMG to produce a plan, should SAP be unable to resolve the issue of data transfer from the R3 PRD server to the BW PRD server. This plan was put into place and three options to resolve the problem identified. Option 1 was SAP could fix the issue; Option 2 was that the data would be transferred in small chunks (labour intensive). The most radical was a complete rebuild of the BW server if options 1 &amp; 2 failed. Option 3 had to be implemented.</li> <li>The rebuild has begun and a plan is being drafted by Mark Tindall to schedule the completion of this work. It is anticipated that a completion date of 25<sup>th</sup> September is envisaged.</li> <li>Due to the technical difficulties with the data extraction and server rebuild, the scheduled go-live did not materialise on the 1<sup>st</sup> August, a new date will be agreed once the rebuild has been finalised.</li> </ul>		
Status This Month	Status Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	<ul> <li>UPGRADE</li> <li>The new test environment has been released to Finance and Procurement to commence user</li> </ul>		
Α	G	Α	G	Α	Α	Α	acceptance testing (UAT), which is progressing well. Timescales / Issues:		

							Value for Money Stream Board		
							<ul> <li>Unfortunately, the HR module has not been released for testing as there is a fundamental problem whereby HR are not able to run payroll. This has resulted in a delay with HR testing, which was due to commenced Monday the 25<sup>th</sup> of September.</li> </ul>		
(5) Trans	(5) TRANSACTIONAL EFFICIENCY CONT. Transactional Efficiency						<ul> <li>The HR issue has been escalated to SAP by Logicacmg. The Haringey Project Manager has a spoken to the account manager at SAP to review the problem. A joint statement has been requested Andrew Travers from the Account Manager at SAP and the Director of Global ERP services Logicacmg to be with Haringey by the 2<sup>nd</sup> of October.</li> <li>Until we have confirmation from Logicacmg on when this problem is resolved for HR, Haringey are not a position to schedule and plan end to end testing. With testing being delayed three times now due</li> </ul>		
Status This Month				Issues	Risks	technical problems; more importantly the slow turnaround for resolution of issues has impacted the go- live date once, but may do so again. Once we have confirmation of this fix, we will then assess on whether another revised go-live date will need to be released.			
R	R N N N N N N			N	N	<ul> <li>TRANSACTIONAL EFFICIENCY</li> <li>This project has been placed on hold until further notice.</li> </ul>			

## SECTION 8 – CUSTOMER FOCUS STREAM BOARD

							Custom	ner Focus Stream Boar	rd	
(1)				ING PRC			<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES</li> <li>To transition support of the infrastructure delivered by the Tech Refresh programme from the project team to permanent staff</li> <li>To outsource the Networks and Security element to a new managed service provider and to purchase 3rd party software tools as required by the service management design</li> <li>To design processes and develop role definitions to deliver a user support and infrastructure maintenance service based where applicable on ITIL recommendations.</li> <li>To design an organisational structure that encapsulates the defined roles and processes, utilising existing resources as much as possible to fill the new structure and recruiting additional skilled resources where necessary.</li> </ul>			
							Original End Date: Dec 2006 End date last month: Dec 2006	Current End Date: Dec 2006	Project Budget: £6.435m	Committed spend to date: £3.336m (expected) Forecast Spend: £6.045m
Month Status This Month	Status Last <b>G</b>	Timesc ale <b>G</b>	Budget	Resour ces <b>G</b>	Issues G	Risks <b>G</b>	MANAGEMENT SUMMARY The Programme continues to progress within time, cost and quality tolerances allowing the overall programme RAG status to continue at Green. The contributing factors to the overall status are highlighted below.			
	Da <b>Pr</b> e	vina ojec	t Fio	nage			possible pressure on the ta date for the programme is <b>Budget</b> : Continuing detailed analysi		pacy environment decomm programme timescale RA cted budget outcome for re	hissioning, the overall end AG status remains at Green. eporting to the Board at the

		Customer Focus Stream Board
(1)	INSOURCING ICT CONT.	Resources: One outcome of the ring-fencing process is that a number of engineers were lost from the Legacy Environment Decommissioning (Green) team – the resulting resourcing shortfall has been partly and temporarily mitigated by transferring engineers from other ITS teams, but the situation needs to be monitored. The Procurement stream has identified a potential resource squeeze in the face of ongoing diversion of stream resource to support another high-profile Council initiative, but this is being reviewed and the outcome is not yet certain. Given the uncertainty and the low impact on the programme overall, the programme resources RAG status continues at Green. Issues:
		<ul> <li>All issues are being satisfactorily managed, thus the programme issues RAG status remains at Green.</li> <li><b>Risks</b>:</li> <li>Overall risks are being managed satisfactorily through their mitigation plans, despite a slightly higher level of concern within the Service Delivery stream, and therefore the programme risks RAG status continues at Green.</li> <li>A key success in this reporting period was the final signing of the contract with Computacenter for supply and support of the Service Management Tool (Infra). While agreeing of Terms &amp; Conditions was a long,</li> </ul>
		and support of the Service Management Tool (Infra). While agreeing of Terms & Conditions was a long, drawn-out process, it was thought to be coming to a satisfactory conclusion at the time of the last Board highlight report. Unfortunately, at the last minute Computacenter raised an issue that jeopardized getting the contract signed within the GCat agreement by 30 <sup>th</sup> September. Perseverance by the ITS Service Delivery Manager and IT Procurement Manager in negotiations with Computacenter finally succeeded in resolving the issue and getting the contract signed just in time. See the section "Key Activities in this reporting period" below for more details of this.

	Customer Focus Stream Board										
(2)	SE	RV	OM ICE TEC	S			<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES</li> <li>The Customer Service Strategy aims to ensure that 80% of contacts with customer services (including the website and automated telephone lines) will be resolved at the first point of contact and that access will be offered across more Council services, working towards 80% of all customer contacts.</li> </ul>				
							Original End Date: March 2006 End date last month: March 2009	Current End Date: March 2009	<b>Project Budget:</b> Development work is funded from mainstream funding and the net revenue effect is nil.		
Month Status This Month	Status Last	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	MANAGEMENT SUMMAF	Υ			
R				G onso rhous		Α	<ul> <li>Customer Focus Strear</li> <li>An Exception Report of Board.</li> <li>Agreement to proceed Customer Focus Strear</li> <li>Customer Services will</li> <li>The go-live date for Envirement received.</li> <li>Homes For Haringey refinancial constraints an available to Customer Services</li> </ul>	n Board. on 'customer present' eP has not been reached n Board. book Pest Control appoin forcement has been broug equested that the deepen of the need for user buy Services.	at CSCs. An Exception Report will be presented to Payments will be presented to Customer Focus Stream with HALS. An Exception Report will be presented to atments from Monday 9 <sup>th</sup> October. ght forward four weeks to the 1 <sup>st</sup> November to reflect the ing of their implementation project be put on hold due to r-in of their IT system to ensure reliable data would be		
		-	<b>t Ma</b> Sege	inage I	er:		<ul> <li>revised business case underway.</li> <li>Achievements</li> <li>Development of the first function merges cardinate dual keying into an eFormation for the first function merges cardinate for the first for the firs</li></ul>	and plans for Release 4 st handover to back offic ustomer information collec rm or Outlook email. I to prepare for future imp	a schedule for delivery as planned on 23rd October. The have been agreed with Senior Management and work is ce via Siebel email functionality for the PEPPS service. cted within the service request into a template, replacing lementations and better reflect service groupings.		

							Customer Focus Stream Board
(3) CUSTOMER FOCUS PROJECTS						ſS	<ul> <li>PROJECT DESCRIPTION/OBJECTIVES</li> <li>To help set and instigate a Customer Focus agenda for Haringey, ensuring that customers are at the heart of service design and delivery through:</li> <li>Getting Haringey to be better outward focussed</li> <li>Enabling better up involvement in developing and actioning abange</li> </ul>
							<ul> <li>Enabling bottom-up involvement in developing and actioning change</li> <li>Getting back to basics and getting them right</li> <li>Working towards a strategic, coherent and cohesive approach</li> </ul> Current pilot projects are the WOW! Awards, ICS Staff awards Programme and the Customer Focus
							Original End Date: 31 March 2007       Current End Date: 31 March 2007       Project Budget: Not applicable. There is no dedicated capital budget for Customer Focus. All costs for pilot projects are being met from revenue
							MANAGEMENT SUMMARY
Status This Month	Last Month	Timesc ale	Budget	Resour ces	Issues	Risks	WOW! Awards
G	G	G	G	G	G	Α	225 nominations were made within the first three months of the pilot – a response much better than even highest expectations. Only the very exceptional are being forwarded to the WOW! organisation for
<b>Project Sponsor:</b> Justin Holliday							consideration. Both of the first two submitted were given WOW! Awards – presented to the winning staff by the Leader on 6 <sup>th</sup> October. A further 3 have since been submitted and we are awaiting the outcome. Given the scheme's success, a report recommending corporate roll out and draft procedures will be prepared earlier than scheduled, hopefully before Christmas.
<b>Project Manager:</b> Chris McLean							The pilot was launched on 25 <sup>th</sup> September and the application process is underway. All preparation to run the pilot has been completed and formal briefing sessions are scheduled. The need to explore a contingent bid for post pilot funding has been flagged with the Head of OD+L.

		Customer Focus Stream Board
(3)	CUSTOMER FOCUS PROJECTS CONT.	<ul> <li>Customer Focus Network         This too was formally launched on 25<sup>th</sup> September. Membership will be expanded to include those involved in the ICS Awards pilot         This is the most ambitious of the three pilot projects and the one most dependant upon the active interest and support of services, which to date have been extremely disappointing. I need both senior and middle managers to help promote and push this and I will particularly mention this point on 17<sup>th</sup> October when Customer Focus will be debated by CEMB.     </li> <li>Risks:         Engaging the organisation and lack of corporate clout to help achieve this. The active interest and support of the Customer Focus Stream Board has been sought. This especially applies to the Customer Focus is to be discussed at CEMB on 17th October 06 and will attempt to address this risk of non-engagement.     </li> </ul>

## **PROGRAMME OFFICE COMMENTS**

No.	Item
1	CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE STREAM BOARD
	•
2	CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE STREAM BOARD
	•